

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,320	01/23/2002	Neil J. Bassom	F071	8008	
	7590 06/03/2004 O. SCHEINBERG 4140		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 164			ROCCHEGIA	ROCCHEGIANI, RENZO	
AUSTIN, TX	78716-4140		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/055,320	BASSOM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Renzo N. Rocchegiani	2825	AN.				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the c rresp ndence add	lress				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.				
	Status							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 De	combor 2002		•				
.			1					
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the r	nerits is				
		parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	Disposition of Claims	•						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 31-36</u> is/are pending in the ar	polication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawi	from consideration						
	5) Claim(s) 6-8 and 15 is/are allowed.	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-14,16-18,31 and 33-36</u> is/are reje	ected		. •				
	7) Claim(s) 32 is/are objected to.	, olog.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	olootion manuturus		•				
	and dabyout to restriction and/or (election requirement.						
	Application Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Francisco							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
1.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFP 1 124(d)							
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	niner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO	-152.				
1	Přioritý under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			1., 1.				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
1.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
-	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rec	eived.					
				•				
A	ttachment(s)							
1	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. [The state of the state of		*				
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	nary (PTO-413) il Date	*				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				
U.S.	Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) . Office Action	1 Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0	5222004				

Application/Control Number: 10/055,320

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-14, 16-18, 31, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,261,850 B1 (Marsh) in view of applicant's admission.

Marsh discloses a process to form a conductive material with a focused ion beam deposition using two precursor gasses one comprising an organometallic platinum compound and the other comprising a non-conductive precursor that if used by itself would form a dielectric layer. (col. 2, lines 44-65). Marsh further discloses that the deposition of the conductive material is controlled by controlling the flow, and thus concentration, of the precursor gases. (col. 9, lines 20-30) Marsh discloses injecting the precursor gases simultaneously through separate inlet ports. (col. 9, lines 1-8).

Marsh does not disclose that the conductive material has a high resistivity that falls between 5X10^4 and 7X10^4 ohms per square.

In their response applicant admitted that the resistivity of the conductive material layer is dependent on its size, thus recognizing that the size of the layer is a result effective variable. Based on this admission, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to form the layer to have a high resistivity since it has

Application/Control Number: 10/055,320

Art Unit: 2825

been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

It would further be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to inlet the gasses through the same port, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

It would be inherent that the voltage to current relationship for the conductive film will be linear since that is the relationship between voltage and current.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 6-8, and 15 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 32 is also allowable but for the minor informality that has been objected to as stated below.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 2 and 32 are objected to because of the following informalities: on line 9, the word "product" should be "produce". Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that oxygen is not a precursor gas. The examiner disagrees. Merriam-Webster's Dictionary defines a precursor as "a substance, cell, or cellular component from which another substance, cell, or cellular component is formed." In the prior art cited, oxygen is necessary to form the final compound and thus it is a substance from which another substance is formed.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,320

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant's argument that oxygen is a reactant and thus not a precursor is based on mere semantics and is thus not persuasive. If oxygen were used on its own it would form an oxide layer that would be insulative and thus the limitations of the claims are met. The allowable subject matter has been indicated in the previous office action. Consistent with the previous action the examiner has indicated the claims containing allowable subject matter that applicant has rewarded in independent form as allowable. The examiner emphasizes the minor informality in claim 32 which is the only issue remaining to render that claim allowable. The examiner also points out that the same informality is found in non-patentable claim 2. Because the rejection stands this action is made final.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2825

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renzo N. Rocchegiani whose telephone number is (571)272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571)272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Renzo N. Rocchegiani Examiner Art Unit 2825

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800